

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/854,222	05/10/2001	Srihari Kumar	P3966	1085	
24739	7590 10/05/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
CENTRAL	COAST PATENT AG	FELTEN, I	FELTEN, DANIEL S		
PO BOX 187 AROMAS,			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
,	*		3624		
			DATE MAILED: 10/05/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

*		Application No.	Applicant(s)	M			
Office Action Summary		09/854,222	KUMAR ET AL.	•			
		Examiner	Art Unit	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
		Daniel S Felten	3624				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	ne correspondence address	S			
THE - External after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or tre to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered timely, from the mailing date of this commun DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.			
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 Ju</u>	<u>uly 2004</u> .					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	 Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-25 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Applicati	on Papers						
•	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document: 2. Certified copies of the priority document: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document: application from the International Bureau see the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applic rity documents have been rece u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National Stag	e			
Attachment	t(s)						
2) Notic 3) Inform Pape	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Mai 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/854,222

Art Unit: 3624

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. In response to applicant's arguments against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986). It is also submitted that references are evaluated by what they suggest to one of ordinary skill in the art rather than their specific disclosure [see In re Bozek, 163 USPQ 545 (CCPA 1969)]

In response to the argument that Schrader enables a transactor to view pending on-line banking transactions at one financial institution only, this was discussed in the March 24, 2004 Office Action why one of ordinary skill in the art would modify the software of Schrader with the teachings of Hagan to enable a proxy transfer of funds between at least a user's financial account held at one institution and a user's financial account held at another institution. The March 24, 2004 office action provided motivation for the combination of references (which is emphasized again here) stating that one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would recognize the fact that certain financial institutions (i.e. banks) are federally insured only to a certain dollar limit (i.e. FDIC) and therefore motivated to use Hagan to transfer funds to a number of different institutions (or banks, insurance companies, etc.,) to safeguard against uninsured (or unprotected funds).

Application/Control Number: 09/854,222

Art Unit: 3624

Moreover, it should be noted that the definition of "financial institution" in this case is not limited to depository institutions (banks, credit unions, etc.,) but includes nondepository institutions (i.e., insurance companies and pension plans). One of ordinary skill in the art would recognize the fact that a user would be able to conveniently make proxy transfer of funds to one of these institutions via the teachings of Hagan. Thus such modification would have been an obvious expedient well within the ordinary skill of the art.

Conclusion

2. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel S Felten whose telephone number is (703) 305-0724. The examiner can normally be reached on Flex.

Application/Control Number: 09/854,222

Art Unit: 3624

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent Millin can be reached on (703) 308-1065. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DSF

September 28, 2004

Daniel S Felten Examiner Art Unit 3624

VINCENT MILLIN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Unes J Melli